

Neutrino oscillations and gamma-ray bursts

W. Kluźniak

Copernicus Astronomical Center, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warszawa, Poland
and University of Wisconsin, Sterling Hall, 1150 University Ave., Madison, WI 53705

Received _____; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

If the ordinary neutrinos oscillate into a sterile flavor in a manner consistent with the Super-Kamiokande data on the zenith-angle dependence of atmospheric μ -neutrino flux, an energy sufficient to power a typical cosmic gamma-ray burst (GRB) ($\sim 10^{52}$ erg) can be carried by sterile neutrinos away from the source and deposited in a region relatively free of baryons. Hence, ultra-relativistic bulk motion (required by the theory of and observations of GRBs and their afterglows) can easily be achieved in the vicinity of plausible sources of GRBs. Oscillations between sterile and ordinary neutrinos would thus provide a solution to the “baryon-loading problem” in the theory of GRBs.

Subject headings: gamma rays: bursts — elementary particles — radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

1. Ultra-relativistic bulk motion and the baryon-loading problem

Available evidence clearly shows that GRBs originate in distant reaches of the universe (Fishman & Meegan 1995), with redshifts $z > 0.8$ and $z = 3.4$ reported for two particular sources (Djorgovski *et al.* 1997, Kulkarni *et al.* 1998).

Ultra-relativistic bulk motion of matter in the sources is required to account for the observed fluences ($10^{-5\pm2}\text{erg/cm}^2$) in cosmic gamma-ray bursts originating at a redshift of $z \sim 1$ (Mészáros & Rees 1993). Otherwise, if the source were sufficiently large to be optically thin to electron pair creation the typical duration of a GRB would be days, rather than the observed seconds or minutes, while a source only light minutes (or less) in size would be opaque to its own gamma-ray radiation. Considerations of such bulk motion led to predictions (Paczynski & Rhoads 1993, Katz 1994, Mészáros & Rees 1997, Vietri 1997) of radio, optical and X-ray afterglows, which were subsequently observed (Galama *et al.* 1997, van Paradijs *et al.* 1997, Frail *et al.* 1997).

However, most sources capable of impulsively releasing the 10^{52} erg or more of energy required to power a GRB contain so much matter around them, that if the energy released were used to accelerate even a very small fraction ($\sim 10^{-3}$) of the baryons present, only a non-relativistic wind would result. This is known as the baryon-loading problem (e.g. Piran 1997).

It has been hoped that the geometry of the sources is such that at least some of the energy released is channeled along directions relatively free of baryons, so that relativistic bulk motion and the ensuing beaming of radiation may occur along certain lines of sight. So far this has not yet been fully demonstrated for any theoretical source of GRBs—although calculations of binary “mergers” of a neutron star with another neutron star or a black hole suggest that this may be the case (Rasio & Shapiro 1992; Kluźniak & Lee 1998; Wilson, Salmonson & Mathews 1998), for other models not even approximate calculations of the

spatial distribution of baryons is available (Woosley 1993, Paczyński 1998).

The diversity of the observed GRBs is such, that it is not at all clear that they all arise in sources of identical, or even similar, geometry. One is tempted to search for a solution to the baryon loading problem, which does not invoke a very special geometry. The transport of energy by non-interacting particles would provide a natural solution. Sterile neutrinos, if they exist, would be such particles.

2. The Super-Kamiokande result

The Super-Kamiokande collaboration has found strong evidence for a zenith angle dependence of the flux ratio of atmospheric muon and electron neutrinos, ν_μ/ν_e , in a 535 day (33.0 kiloton-year) observation of Čerenkov photons in a water detector (Fukuda *et al.* 1998). Oscillation between the muon and the electron neutrino is not favored by the data. The data is well fit by a two-neutrino model of vacuum oscillations of the μ neutrino “ $\nu_\mu \longleftrightarrow \nu_x$, where ν_x may be ν_τ or a new, non-interacting ‘sterile’ neutrino” (*ibid.*). The probability for a flavor change in travel over a distance L is given by

$$P_{a \rightarrow b} = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(\frac{1.27 \Delta m^2 (\text{eV})^{-2} L (\text{km})^{-1}}{E (\text{Gev})^{-1}} \right)$$

with the best fit values inside the physical region ($0 \leq \sin^2 2\theta \leq 1$) given as $\sin^2 2\theta = 1.0$ and $\Delta m^2 = 2.2 \times 10^{-3} (\text{eV})^2$. At the 90% confidence level, $5 \times 10^{-4} < \Delta m^2 < 6 \times 10^{-3} (\text{eV})^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.82$ (*ibid.*).

If the oscillation is between ν_τ and ν_μ , no dramatic changes to GRB models would be called for, as both neutrinos interact with matter through neutral currents. In the remainder of this letter I will assume that the detected oscillation is into a sterile flavor which does not interact with matter. Some features of the data suggest that this may indeed be the case. Note that a μ neutrino of energy E would change flavor, with probability one,

from μ to sterile, every time it traverses a distance

$$L_0 = \frac{\pi(E/\text{GeV})}{2.54\Delta m^2/(\text{eV})^2}\text{km}, \quad (1a)$$

and the sterile neutrino would revert back to the μ flavor after travelling the same distance again. For a $\sim \text{GeV}$ neutrino, $L_0 \sim 10^3\text{km}$, but for $E \sim \text{MeV}$ (which may be the more likely value in GRB sources) the distance is on the order of a kilometer:

$$0.2(E/\text{MeV}) < (L_0/\text{km}) < 2.5(E/\text{MeV}), \quad (1b)$$

where the limits correspond to the 90% confidence level limits on Δm^2 . This is a length scale of some interest in studies of neutron stars (radius $R_* \sim 10\text{km}$) and solar mass black holes (Schwarzschild radius $r_s = 2.9\text{km} \times M/M_\odot$), and, by extension, also in theoretical discussions of GRB models.

3. Hypernova-type models of GRBs

There is a class of models in which GRBs are ultimately powered by neutrino emission in the gravitational collapse of Earth sized (but massive) objects. These could be the cores ($M \gg M_\odot$) of very massive stars (Woosley 1993, Paczyński 1998), or those of supernovae type Ic (as possibly in SN 1988bw: Iwamoto *et al.* 1998, Wang & Wheeler 1998), an unstable supermassive ($10^5 M_\odot$) quasi-star (Fuller & Shi 1998), etc. While a sufficiently high energy input (up to $\sim 10^{54}\text{erg}$) in neutrinos or in Poynting flux can be obtained (refs. cited) in a natural way, it has never been demonstrated how to avoid the baryon-loading problem in these cases.

One may expect a significant fraction of a solar mass in baryonic matter distributed in a “mantle” of at least 10^4km extension in every direction in these models. A fraction (up to a few percent) of the released energy which is sufficiently high to power the observed

GRBs can be deposited above the mantle, if a comparable energy is converted into GeV or TeV neutrinos. By analogy with AGNs, or Galactic “mini-quasars” (thought to be stellar-mass binary systems), one would imagine formation of jets in the system and then shock acceleration of protons, which could photo-produce pions decaying into neutrinos.

For ordinary quasars, μ -neutrino luminosities as high as 20% of the quasar luminosity have been predicted (Stecker 1993). If a comparable fraction of the luminosity of the GRB central engine (cloaked in the mantle) were converted to ν_μ ’s, many of these could penetrate the mantle after oscillating into the sterile flavor, oscillate back into μ -neutrinos above the mantle (in agreement with eq. [1]) and then deposit their energy by electron pair creation and scattering on electrons in a relatively baryon-free region, thus creating the type of ultra-relativistic outflow discussed in GRB scenarios.

4. GRB models with solar-mass central engines

In another class of models, GRBs are powered by a compact object of about solar mass. This class includes double neutron star systems in the final stages of binary coalescence, or the interaction of a neutron star with a black hole in a coalescing binary, neutron stars with millisecond rotation periods, neutron stars suffering a phase transition, perhaps to a strange star, and many variants on the above (Lattimer & Schramm 1976; Paczyński 1986; Eichler *et al.* 1988; Paczyński 1991; Usov 1992; Mochkovitch *et al.* 1993; Cheng & Dai 1996; Kluźniak & Ruderman 1997, 1998; Kluźniak & Lee 1998). Frequently, the discussed configuration is that of a solar-mass disk orbiting a stellar-mass black hole. In all these cases, the typical length scale for the distribution of baryons is a few km, and up to few times 10^{53} erg of energy can be released. In most (but not all) cases thermal emission of neutrinos is expected, necessarily with energies of a few MeV, neutrino emission in such models bears similarity to that in supernovae. Whether the expected luminosity of

neutrinos is sufficient to power a GRB is a subject of some controversy, but assuming that it is, the baryon-loading problem is almost always a serious concern.

Clearly, by eqs. (1) oscillation over a distance comparable to the size of the system is expected, which would be helpful in circumventing the baryon-loading problem, as the sterile neutrinos could freely penetrate baryonic matter, and even over-take any non-relativistic wind, and deposit energy in a relatively baryon-free region after oscillating back into μ -neutrinos.

5. The geometry of neutrino annihilation

As the ultra-relativistic blast wave would be powered by annihilation of μ -neutrinos into electron positron pairs, it is necessary to discuss the rate of energy deposition in this process. The overall efficiency of energy conversion into gamma-rays has to be rather high in most scenarios, so if sterile (or any) neutrinos are to play a role in the energy transport, it helps if the geometry of neutrino annihilation (and simultaneous electron pair creation) is favorable.

In spherical geometry, the luminosity (per unit volume) of neutrino annihilation drops like the radius to the eight power, $l_{\nu\bar{\nu}}(r) \propto r^{-8}$. In the discussion above, the neutrino oscillation was taken to occur over a distance comparable with the system size, this would correspond to an efficiency of energy transport by the sterile neutrinos of $\approx 2^{-5} = 0.03$ [this is the ratio of energy deposited in electron positron pairs at $r > 2r_0$ to that which would be deposited in the absence of baryons and neutrino oscillations at $r > r_0$]. However, it is agreed by most authors that angular momentum in the system would lead to significant departures from spherical symmetry. Indeed, disk-like or toroidal geometry seems preferred, and it has been pointed out that such geometry is more favorable for annihilation when no

baryons are present (Mészáros & Rees 1992). I show below that in disk-like geometry, when baryons are present through which sterile neutrinos transport energy away from the source over a distance comparable to the oscillation length, the efficiency is a few percent, i.e. it is comparable to that in the spherical case. A toroidal geometry is much more favorable and neutrino annihilation could be further enhanced by gravitational focusing in that case.

Neglecting gravitational effects (redshift and trajectory bending) the energy deposition rate, per unit volume, through neutrino annihilation is (Goodman, Dar & Nussinov 1987):

$$\dot{q}(\vec{r}) = \frac{4KG_F^2\chi(\vec{r})}{3A_0^2c}L_\nu L_{\bar{\nu}} \left(\frac{\langle E_\nu^2 \rangle}{\langle E_\nu \rangle} + \frac{\langle E_{\bar{\nu}}^2 \rangle}{\langle E_{\bar{\nu}} \rangle} \right), \quad (2)$$

where L_ν/A_0 ($L_{\bar{\nu}}/A_0$) are the surface emissivities of neutrinos (antineutrinos), $K = (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W + 8\sin^4\theta_W)/(6\pi) = 0.027$, $G_F^2 = 5.3 \times 10^{-44} \text{cm}^2\text{MeV}^{-2}$, and c is the speed of light. The expressions in angled brackets are moments of (anti)neutrino energy. Here, χ is a position-dependent geometrical factor.

If the neutrinos and antineutrinos are emitted isotropically from the surface of a sphere (the same for both) of radius r_0 , L_ν is the neutrino luminosity of that sphere of area $A_0 = 4\pi r_0^2$, while $\chi(\vec{r}) = \chi_s(x)$, where $\chi_s(x) \equiv (1 - x)^4(x^2 + 4x + 5)$, and $x = \sqrt{1 - r_0^2/r^2}$ with r the spherical radial co-ordinate (Goodman, Dar & Nussinov 1987). This gives the r^{-8} dependence of luminosity mentioned above: $\chi(\vec{r}) \approx (5/8)r_0^8/r^8$ for $r^2 \gg r_0^2$. Eq. (2) has been derived in the approximation that the neutrinos are sufficiently energetic for threshold effects to be important only over a negligible solid angle (i.e., $\langle E_\nu \rangle \gg 511 \text{keV}$).

The energy deposition rate at point P on the z axis above some azimuthally symmetric surface emitting neutrinos isotropically with surface emissivity L_ν/A_0 is given by eq. (2) with $\chi = \chi_s(x_2) - \chi_s(x_1)$, when the surface is visible from the point P between azimuthal angles $\arccos x_1$ and $\arccos x_2$. Thus, for an infinite disk $\chi = 5$. If some of the neutrinos are sterile, and do not contribute to the annihilation rate, there is a slight complication. As an example consider a disk isotropically emitting from its surface sterile neutrinos

which oscillate back into the μ flavor upon travelling a distance L_0 , as given by eqs. (1). At point P a height h above the surface, only neutrinos arriving with azimuthal angle θ satisfying $h/[(2n-1)L_0] < \cos \theta < h/[(2n)L_0]$, with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, are μ -neutrinos and hence contribute to $\dot{q}$. Thus, the energy deposition rate is given by eq. (2) with

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= -\chi_s \left(\frac{h}{L_0} \right) + \chi_s \left(\frac{h}{2L_0} \right) - \chi_s \left(\frac{h}{3L_0} \right) + \dots = \\ &= 16(h/L_0) \ln 2 - \frac{5(\pi h/L_0)^2}{4} + \frac{7(\pi h/L_0)^4}{144} - \frac{31(\pi h/L_0)^6}{6 \times 7!}, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < h \leq L_0$. E.g., at height L_0 above the disk the presence of sterile neutrinos reduces the energy deposition rate by a factor of about two: $\chi = 2.503$ for $h = L_0$.

To estimate the efficiency of energy transport through a baryonic mantle consider a disk, with no baryons outside, emitting muon neutrinos and antineutrinos and compute the energy deposition in electron positron pairs up to a height L_0 above the disk, this is obtained by integrating eq. (2) with $\chi = 5$ over this external volume. Now consider an identical disk emitting sterile neutrinos and antineutrinos at the same luminosity as before, and assume that the disk is cloaked in baryons, extending to height $L_0/2$ above the disk. Taking into account only those neutrinos which pass through the mantle only in the sterile flavor, compute the energy deposition in electron pairs above the baryons, up to the same height as before, and take the ratio of the two results. The answer is 0.026 and I conclude that the efficiency of energy transport by sterile neutrinos in disk-like geometry is similar to that in spherical geometry, on the order of a few percent. This is because neutrinos travelling sharply away from the normal to the disk surface, oscillate back into the interacting flavor inside the baryonic mantle, while in the spherical case the mean collision angle of the neutrinos drops sharply with distance.

However, for a torus cloaked in baryons to a depth less than L_0 , the annihilation rate of ν_μ 's oscillating out of the sterile phase is comparable to that for non-oscillating μ -neutrinos

in the absence of a baryonic mantle, i.e. here the efficiency is close to unity. But it must be noted that in supernova-like emission the luminosity in muon neutrinos is typically on the order of only ten percent of the electron luminosity so the overall efficiency can be no higher than this in such models.

6. Conclusions

The Super-Kamiokande collaboration has reported that mu neutrinos oscillate in vacuum into another non-electronic, flavor, perhaps into a sterile neutrino. If a significant flux of neutrinos is initially present in GRB sources (as most models would have it), oscillation of ν_μ into a sterile neutrino would allow the energy to be carried across a region contaminated with baryons, and would allow its deposition in a relatively baryon-free region, thus allowing the formation of an ultra-relativistic blast. The efficiency of this deposition (relative to direct annihilation of the neutrinos when neither the oscillation nor baryons are present) is on the order of unity for toroidal geometry of emission, and a few percent for spherical or disk-like geometry.

In models involving solar mass compact object (neutron star phase transitions, mergers etc., Section 4) the oscillation length for the expected neutrino energy of several MeV matches well the size of the system. For these models the energy requirements are usually so extreme that toroidal geometry is required. In hypernovae models (Section 3), with the characteristic size of the system on the order of thousands of kilometers, GeV neutrino energies are required for this mechanism of energy transport to work. In these models, the available power is usually so large that quasi-spherical or disk-like geometries with their efficiency of a few percent suffice.

In this letter I have assumed that it is ν_μ that oscillates into a sterile flavor. The

solar neutrino deficit allows (but does not require) that the electron neutrino oscillates into a sterile flavor. However, the minimum oscillation length of about 0.2 AU for $\sim \text{MeV}$ neutrinos required in this case would be too large to be useful in current GRB models.

This work supported in part by the KBN through grant 2P03D01311. I thank the Aspen Center for Physics for hospitality and Mal Ruderman for comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Cheng, K.S. & Dai, Z. G. 1996, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1210
- Djorgovski, S.G. *et al.* 1997, Nature 387, 876
- Eichler, D., Livio, M., Piran, T., Schramm, D.N. 1988, Nature, 340, 126
- Fishman, G.J. & Meegan, C.A. 1995, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astroph. 33, 415
- Frail, D.A. *et al.* 1997, Nature 389, 261
- Fukuda, Y. *et al.* 1998, preprint hep-ex/9807003
- Fuller, G. M. & Shi, X. 1998, preprint
- Galama, T.J. *et al.* 1997, IAU Circ. 6655
- Goodman, J., Dar, A. & Nussinov, S. 1987, ApJ314, L7
- Iwamoto, K. *et al.* 1998, preprint astro-ph/9806382
- Katz, J.I. 1994, ApJ422, 248
- Kluźniak, W. & Lee, W.H. 1998, ApJ494, L53
- Kluźniak, W. & Ruderman M. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9712320
- Kluźniak, W. & Ruderman M. 1998, in Gamma-Ray Bursts, 4th Gamma-Ray Burst Symposium, C.A. Meegan, R.D. Preece, T.M. Koshut eds., (New York: AIP), pp. 830–833
- Kulkarni, S.R. *et al.* 1998, Nature 393, 35
- Lattimer, J.M., Schramm, D.N. 1976, ApJ210, 549
- Mészáros, P. & Rees M.J. 1992, MNRAS 258, 41P
- Mészáros, P. & Rees M.J. 1993, ApJ405, 278
- Mészáros, P. & Rees, M.J. 1997, ApJ482, L28

- Mochkovitch, R., Hernanz, M.; Isern, J. & Martin, X. 1993, *Nature* 361, 236
- Paczynski, B. 1986, *ApJ*308, L43
- Paczynski, B. 1991, *Acta Astron.* 41, 257
- Paczynski, B. 1998, *ApJ*494, L45
- Paczynski, B. & Rhoads, J.E. 1993, *ApJ*418, L5
- Piran, T. 1997, in *Unsolved Problems in Astrophysics*, J. N. Bahcall & J. P. Ostriker eds., (Princeton: Princeton University Press), pp. 343–377.
- Rasio, F. & Shapiro, S.L. 1992, *ApJ*401, 226
- Stecker, F.W. 1993, in *Neutrino Telescopes*, M.B. Ceolin ed., (Venezia), pp. 443–464.
- Usov, V.V. 1992, *Nature* 357, 472
- van Paradijs, J. *et al.* 1997, *Nature* 386, 686
- Vietri, M. 1997, *ApJ*478, L9
- Wang, L. & Wheeler, J. C. 1998, *ApJL* in press.
- Wilson, J.R., Salmonson, J.D. & Mathews, G.J. 1998, in *Gamma-Ray Bursts*, 4th Gamma-Ray Burst Symposium, C.A. Meegan, R.D. Preece, T.M. Koshut eds., (New York: AIP), pp. 788–792
- Woosley, S. E. 1993, *ApJ*405, 273.